

national environmental
scorecard

SECOND SESSION OF THE 111TH CONGRESS



LEAGUE OF CONSERVATION VOTERS

www.lcv.org

LCV BOARD OF DIRECTORS *

JOHN H. ADAMS

Natural Resources Defense Council

MARCIA ARONOFF

Environmental Defense Fund

PAUL AUSTIN

Conservation Minnesota & Conservation
Minnesota Voter Center

BRENT BLACKWELDER, HONORARY

Friends of the Earth

**THE HONORABLE SHERWOOD BOEHLERT,
VICE CHAIR**

Accord Group

MARCIA BYSTRYN, SECRETARY

New York League of Conservation Voters

JAMES KIMO CAMPBELL

Pohaku Fund

CARRIE CLARK

North Carolina League of Conservation Voters

MANNY DIAZ

Lydecker Diaz

GEORGE FRAMPTON, JR.

Covington & Burling, LLP

WADE GREENE, HONORARY

Rockefeller Family & Associates

LISA GUTHRIE

Virginia League of Conservation Voters

RAMPA R. HORMEL

Enlyst Fund

JOHN HUNTING, HONORARY

John Hunting & Associates

TOM KIERNAN, TREASURER

National Parks Conservation Association

MICHAEL KIESCHNICK

CREDO Mobile

PETER MANDELSTAM

Bluewater Wind

WILLIAM H. MEADOWS III

The Wilderness Society

JORGE MURSULI

Democracia U.S.A.

SCOTT A. NATHAN

The Baupost Group, LLC

JOHN D. PODESTA

Center for American Progress

BILL ROBERTS, CHAIR

The Atlantic Philanthropies

LARRY ROCKEFELLER

American Conservation Association

**THEODORE ROOSEVELT IV,
HONORARY CHAIR**

Barclays Capital

DONALD K. ROSS

Rockefeller Family & Associates

MARYANNE TAGNEY-JONES

LAURA TURNER SEYDEL

Turner Foundation

LYNDE B. UIHLEIN

Brico Fund, LLC

KATHLEEN WELCH

LCV ISSUES & ACCOUNTABILITY COMMITTEE *

MARCIA ARONOFF

Environmental Defense Fund

BRENT BLACKWELDER

Friends of the Earth

MARCIA BYSTRYN

New York League of Conservation Voters

WESLEY WARREN

Natural Resources Defense Council

KATHLEEN WELCH

LCV SCORECARD ADVISORY COMMITTEE *

CAROL ANDRESS

Environmental Defense Fund

ANNA AURILIO

Environment America

MELANIE BELLER

The Wilderness Society

ROBERT DEWEY

Defenders of Wildlife

MAUREEN DROUIN

Maine League of Conservation Voters

MARTY HAYDEN

Earthjustice Legal Defense Fund

DAVID JENKINS

Republicans for Environmental Protection

ADAM KOLTON

National Wildlife Federation

CRAIG LASHER

Population Action International

ELISE RUSSELL LIGUORI

National Parks Conservation Association

DAMON MOGLEN

Friends of the Earth

BRIAN MOORE

National Audubon Society

NANCY PERRY

The Humane Society of the United States

MELINDA PIERCE

Sierra Club

KERRY SCHUMANN

Wisconsin League of Conservation Voters

CINDY SHOGAN

Alaska Wilderness League

SCOTT SLESINGER

Natural Resources Defense Council

KAREN STEUER

Pew Environment Group

MARCHANT WENTWORTH

Union of Concerned Scientists

CONTENTS

1. ANALYSIS

Overview of the 2nd Session of the 111th Congress	2
Voting Summary	4

2. SENATE SCORES

Vote Descriptions	7
Senate Votes	10

3. HOUSE SCORES

Vote Descriptions	16
House Votes	20

The nonprofit League of Conservation Voters (LCV) has published a *National Environmental Scorecard* every Congress since 1970, the year it was founded by leaders of the environmental movement following the first Earth Day. LCV works to turn environmental values into national priorities.

This edition of the *National Environmental Scorecard* provides objective, factual information about the most important environmental legislation considered and the corresponding voting records of all members of the second session of the 111th Congress. This *Scorecard* represents the consensus of experts from about 20 respected environmental and conservation organizations who selected the key votes on which members of Congress should be graded. LCV scores votes on the most important issues of the year, including energy, global warming, environmental health and safety protections, public lands and wildlife conservation and spending for environmental programs. The votes included in this *Scorecard* presented members of Congress with a real choice and help distinguish which legislators are working for environmental protection. Except in rare circumstances, the *Scorecard* excludes consensus action on the environment and issues on which no recorded votes occurred.

Dedicated environmentalists and national leaders volunteered their time to identify and research crucial votes. We extend special thanks to our Board of Directors, Issues & Accountability Committee, and *Scorecard* Advisory Committee for their valuable input.

Cover photo of the Deep Water Horizon oil spill disaster, provided by the United States Coast Guard.



The 111th Congress started off with great promise for the environment, most significantly with House passage of comprehensive clean energy and climate legislation. As the 2010 *National Environmental Scorecard* reflects, the successes of 2009 were followed by an incredibly disappointing second session in 2010. Indeed, the most important votes of 2010 are the ones that didn't happen: first and most importantly, the Senate failed to even begin debate on a comprehensive clean energy and climate bill that would have created jobs, increased America's energy independence, and protected the planet from carbon pollution; next, the Senate failed to respond to the greatest environmental disaster in our nation's history — the catastrophic oil spill in the Gulf of Mexico.

Even as the Senate failed to take up a comprehensive clean energy and climate bill, Senator Lisa Murkowski (R-AK) tried to block the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) from moving forward with commonsense steps to reduce carbon pollution. Her resolution would have undermined the historic clean cars standards, given polluters a free pass to spew unlimited amounts of carbon pollution into the atmosphere, and overturned the EPA's science-based finding that carbon pollution presents a clear threat to public health and welfare. This legislation was so egregious that LCV has taken the unusual step of double scoring the vote on it to convey just how high a priority it was to defeat this resolution.

Unfortunately, the best that can be said of Senate environmental action in 2010 is that a majority of senators voted to reject the Murkowski resolution. After a vocal minority of senators blocked action on a comprehensive clean energy and climate bill, the Senate failed to respond to the oil spill disaster in the Gulf. Although Majority Leader Harry Reid (D-NV) and other senators crafted an

oil spill response bill, it was pulled when it became clear there were not 60 votes to overcome a filibuster.

Whether blocking action on a comprehensive clean energy and climate bill or on a response to the disaster in the Gulf or on any number of other issues, the filibuster enabled a vocal minority of senators closely allied with Big Oil and Dirty Coal to stand in the way of progress throughout 2010. In fact, the 2010 *National Environmental Scorecard* includes only six different Senate votes — a paltry and disappointing number that reflects the extent to which environmental opponents stymied efforts to even debate key legislation.

Of the six different Senate votes included, only two were pro-environment and both were defeated. One would have cut subsidies to oil and gas companies, and the other would have eliminated tax breaks for the top income earners and invested the savings in renewable energy. The other Senate votes, in addition to the Murkowski resolution, include a delay of implementation of the EPA's lead paint rule, an anti-environmental border fence amendment, and an extension of the environmentally harmful biodiesel tax credit.

Thanks to the leadership of Speaker Nancy Pelosi (D-CA) and Majority Leader Steny Hoyer (D-MD), the House didn't take up any of the Dirty Air Acts pushed by representatives who sought to prevent the EPA from moving forward with protecting public health and holding polluters accountable under the Clean Air Act. In light of the fact that there were no floor votes on this top priority issue, LCV has taken the highly unusual step of scoring cosponsorship of any of these bills.

In its most significant environmental action of the year, the House passed a bill to respond to the oil spill disaster in the Gulf. The House also considered other legislation, including votes to boost energy efficiency, prevent ocean acidification, reduce algal blooms, restore the Upper Mississippi River Basin, expand water education, protect our estuaries, and expand the San Antonio Missions Park. Alas, the Senate failed to act on many of these modest House successes, resulting in a dismal legislative session across the board.

As we reflect on the disappointments of 2010, LCV is all too aware that 2011 will bring even more challenges when it comes to protecting the environment and public health and bringing about a clean energy future. Unfortunately, we lost many environmental champions in the 2010 elections, and in many cases they have been replaced by climate change deniers who have been quite vocal about their disdain for commonsense safeguards to protect our air and water. The new House leadership has also made clear that it is intent on undoing decades of environmental progress during the 112th Congress.


Despite the lack of progress in 2010 and the uphill battle we face in 2011, the importance of these issues remains unchanged. LCV will be there at every step of the way in 2011 and beyond, working to protect the environment and public health and transition our nation to a clean energy economy.

VOTING SUMMARY


2010 STATE AVERAGES

STATE	SENATE	HOUSE
Alabama	14	26
Alaska	36	0
Arizona	14	46
Arkansas	7	58
California	100	60
Colorado	50	60
Connecticut	64	94
Delaware	100	70
Florida	50	51
Georgia	0	38
Hawaii	86	92
Idaho	14	55
Illinois	67	67
Indiana	7	46
Iowa	43	62
Kansas	0	25
Kentucky	7	37
Louisiana	14	37
Maine	0	100
Maryland	100	85
Massachusetts	43	93
Michigan	100	56
Minnesota	86	66
Mississippi	0	60
Missouri	21	37
Montana	50	10
Nebraska	0	20
Nevada	57	63
New Hampshire	43	90
New Jersey	100	72
New Mexico	79	90
New York	100	88
North Carolina	21	62
North Dakota	57	60
Ohio	64	54
Oklahoma	7	26
Oregon	93	76
Pennsylvania	86	60
Rhode Island	100	90
South Carolina	7	37
South Dakota	36	70
Tennessee	7	46
Texas	0	33
Utah	0	37
Vermont	100	100
Virginia	71	53
Washington	86	76
West Virginia	51	63
Wisconsin	86	59
Wyoming	14	10

SENATE



HOUSE



2010 SENATE HIGH AND LOW SCORES

Highest Senate Delegations:

California 100% · Delaware 100% · Maryland 100% · Michigan 100% · New Jersey 100% · New York 100% · Rhode Island 100% · Vermont 100% · Oregon 93%

Senate Scores of 100:

CALIFORNIA Boxer · Feinstein **DELAWARE** Carper · Coons · Kaufman **ILLINOIS** Burris · Durbin **MARYLAND** Cardin · Mikulski **MICHIGAN** Levin, C. · Stabenow **NEVADA** Reid **NEW JERSEY** Lautenberg · Menendez **NEW YORK** Gillibrand · Schumer **OHIO** Brown, Sherrod **OREGON** Merkley **RHODE ISLAND** Reed · Whitehouse **VERMONT** Leahy · Sanders **WEST VIRGINIA** Goodwin **WISCONSIN** Feingold

Lowest Senate Delegations:

Georgia 0% · Kansas 0% · Maine 0% · Mississippi 0% · Nebraska 0% · Texas 0% · Utah 0%

Senate Scores of 0:

ALASKA Murkowski **ARKANSAS** Lincoln **GEORGIA** Chambliss · Isakson **ILLINOIS** Kirk **INDIANA** Lugar **IOWA** Grassley **KANSAS** Brownback · Roberts **KENTUCKY** McConnell **LOUISIANA** Vitter **MAINE** Collins · Snowe **MASSACHUSETTS** Brown, Scott **MISSISSIPPI** Cochran · Wicker **MISSOURI** Bond **NEBRASKA** Johanns · Nelson, Ben **NORTH CAROLINA** Burr **OKLAHOMA** Inhofe **SOUTH CAROLINA** Graham **SOUTH DAKOTA** Thune **TENNESSEE** Alexander, L. **TEXAS** Cornyn · Hutchison **UTAH** Bennett · Hatch **WEST VIRGINIA** Manchin

2010 HOUSE HIGH AND LOW SCORES

Highest House Delegations:

Maine 100% · Vermont 100% · Connecticut 94% · Massachusetts 93% · Hawaii 92% · New Hampshire 90% · New Mexico 90% · Rhode Island 90%

House Scores of 100:

ARIZONA Pastor **ARKANSAS** Snyder **CALIFORNIA** Becerra · Capps · Cardoza · Chu · Davis, S. · Farr · Honda · Matsui · McNerney · Miller, George · Roybal-Allard · Sanchez, Loretta · Schiff · Sherman · Woolsey **COLORADO** DeGette · Markey, B. · Polis **CONNECTICUT** Courtney · DeLauro · Larson, J. **FLORIDA** Brown, C. · Castor · Grayson **GEORGIA** Johnson, H. · Lewis, John · Scott, D. **HAWAII** Hirono **IDAHO** Minnick **ILLINOIS** Jackson, J. · Lipinski · Quigley · Schakowsky **INDIANA** Carson · Visclosky **IOWA** Braley **KANSAS** Moore, D. **KENTUCKY** Chandler **MAINE** Michaud · Pingree **MARYLAND** Cummings · Hoyer · Kratovil · Sarbanes · Van Hollen **MASSACHUSETTS** Capuano · Lynch · Markey, E. · McGovern · Neal · Olver · Tsongas **MICHIGAN** Conyers · Kildee · Levin, S. · Peters · Stupak **MINNESOTA** McCollum · Oberstar **MISSISSIPPI** Thompson, B. **MISSOURI** Cleaver **NEW JERSEY** Andrews · Holt · Pallone · Pascrell · Payne · Rothman **NEW MEXICO** Heinrich **NEW YORK** Arcuri · Bishop, T. · Clarke · Crowley · Engel · Hall, J. · Hinchey · Israel · Lowey · McCarthy, C. · Meeks, G. · Nadler · Rangel · Serrano · Slaughter · Tonko · Velázquez **NORTH CAROLINA** Butterfield · Kissell · Miller, B. · Price, D. · Watt **OHIO** Driehaus · Fudge · Kilroy · Kucinich · Ryan, T. · Sutton **OREGON** Blumenauer · Wu **PENNSYLVANIA** Brady, R. · Dahlkemper · Fattah · Schwartz · Sestak **RHODE ISLAND** Langevin **SOUTH CAROLINA** Clyburn **TENNESSEE** Gordon **TEXAS** Doggett · Green, A. **VERMONT** Welch **VIRGINIA** Moran, James · Scott, R. **WASHINGTON** Baird · Dicks · Inslee · Larsen, R. · McDermott **WISCONSIN** Moore, G.

Lowest House Delegations:

Alaska 0% · Montana 10% · Wyoming 10% · Nebraska 20% · Kansas 25% · Alabama 26% · Oklahoma 26%

House Scores of 0:

ALABAMA Aderholt **ALASKA** Young, D. **ARIZONA** Flake · Franks, T. · Shadegg **CALIFORNIA** Calvert · Campbell · Gallegly · Herger · Hunter · Issa · Lewis, Jerry · Lungren · McCarthy, K. · McClintock · McKeon · Miller, Gary · Nunes · Rohrabacher · Royce **COLORADO** Coffman · Lamborn **FLORIDA** Stearns **GEORGIA** Broun · Deal · Gingrey · Graves, T. · Kingston · Linder · Price, T. · Westmoreland, L. **ILLINOIS** Roskam **INDIANA** Burton · Buyer · Pence · Souder **KANSAS** Jenkins · Moran, Jerry · Tiahrt **KENTUCKY** Davis, G. · Guthrie · Rogers, H. **MICHIGAN** Camp · Hoekstra · Miller, C. · Upton **MISSISSIPPI** Harper **MISSOURI** Akin · Blunt · Emerson · Luetkemeyer **NEW JERSEY** Garrett **NORTH CAROLINA** Coble · Foxx · McHenry · Myrick **OHIO** Boehner · Jordan · Latta · Schmidt **OKLAHOMA** Sullivan **PENNSYLVANIA** Murphy, T. · Shuster **SOUTH CAROLINA** Brown, H. **TENNESSEE** Blackburn, M. · Duncan · Roe **TEXAS** Burgess · Conaway · Cullbertson · Hensarling · Johnson, S. · Marchant · Neugebauer · Olson · Paul, Ron · Thornberry **VIRGINIA** Cantor · Forbes · Goodlatte **WISCONSIN** Sensenbrenner

RATING THE LEADERSHIP OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMMITTEES

SENATE

COMMITTEE	CHAIR	SCORE	RANKING MEMBER	SCORE
Agriculture, Nutrition and Forestry	Lincoln (AR)	0	Chambliss (GA)	0
Appropriations	Inouye (HI)	86	Cochran (MS)	0
Commerce, Science, and Transportation	Rockefeller (WV)	43	Hutchison (TX)	0
Energy and Natural Resources	Bingaman (NM)	71	Murkowski (AK)	0
Environment and Public Works	Boxer (CA)	100	Inhofe (OK)	0
SENATE COMMITTEE LEADER AVERAGE		60		0

HOUSE

COMMITTEE	CHAIR	SCORE	RANKING MEMBER	SCORE
Agriculture	Peterson (MN-7)	80	Lucas (OK-3)	30
Appropriations	Obey (WI-7)	80	Lewis, Jerry (CA-41)	0
Energy and Commerce	Waxman (CA-30)	90	Barton (TX-6)	10
Natural Resources	Rahall (WV-3)	80	Hastings, D. (WA-4)	10
Science and Technology	Gordon (TN-6)	100	Hall, Ralph (TX-4)	10
Transportation and Infrastructure	Oberstar (MN-8)	100	Mica (FL-7)	10
HOUSE COMMITTEE LEADER AVERAGE		88		12

PARTY LEADERS' SCORES

SENATE

DEMOCRATS	SCORE	REPUBLICANS	SCORE
Reid (NV), Majority Leader	100	McConnell (KY), Minority Leader	0
Durbin (IL), Majority Whip	100	Kyl (AZ), Minority Whip	14
Schumer (NY), Conference Vice Chair	100	Alexander (TN), Conference Chair	0
LEADERSHIP AVERAGE	100	LEADERSHIP AVERAGE	5

HOUSE

DEMOCRATS	SCORE	REPUBLICANS	SCORE
Pelosi* (CA-8), Speaker of the House	NA		
Hoyer (MD-5), Majority Leader	100	Boehner (OH-8), Minority Leader	0
Clyburn (SC-6), Whip	100	Cantor (VA-7), Minority Whip	0
Larson (CT-1), Caucus Chair	100	Pence (IN-6), Conference Chair	0
LEADERSHIP AVERAGE	100	LEADERSHIP AVERAGE	0

* The Speaker of the House votes at her discretion.

2010 SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

1 & 2. DIRTY AIR ACT

Global warming is the central environmental challenge of our time. In 2007 in *Massachusetts v. EPA*, the Supreme Court ruled that global warming pollutants were covered by the Clean Air Act and directed the EPA to determine whether the continued emission of such pollutants endangered the country's public health and welfare. In December 2009, the EPA issued this "endangerment finding," concluding that, based on the best science, global warming pollution presents a clear threat to public health and welfare. The endangerment finding was the scientific determination necessary to allow the agency to start limiting global warming pollution under the Clean Air Act.

In January, Senator Lisa Murkowski (R-AK) introduced a Congressional Review Act disapproval resolution (S.J. Res. 26) that would overturn EPA's endangerment finding. The resolution would prevent the EPA from taking the necessary steps under the Clean Air Act to address global warming pollution. In particular, the resolution would dismantle the clean vehicles standards negotiated by the Obama administration and supported by the auto industry, labor, states, and environmentalists, putting at risk standards that are estimated to save 1.8 billion barrels of oil over the lifetime of the program. In addition to blocking the clean cars standards, the resolution would have blocked new commonsense safeguards to protect the air we breathe and the water we drink from global warming pollution from some of our country's biggest polluters.


On June 10, the Senate defeated S.J. Res. 26 by a vote of 47–53 (Senate roll call vote 184). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** Similar versions of this legislation were introduced in the House, but no bill came to a vote.

3. CUTTING OIL SUBSIDIES

The oil and gas industry receives billions of dollars of government support each year through loopholes in the tax code and royalty-free lease agreements. These subsidies dwarf the incentives that are currently available for renewable energy and energy efficiency and distort the market in favor of this mature industry that is a major source of global warming and other toxic pollutants. President Obama called for the elimination of many of these subsidies in his budgets for fiscal years 2010 and 2011 and agreed to eliminate these subsidies in a pledge made with other world leaders at a Group of 20 Summit in 2009. Congress, however, has not taken the steps necessary to end these subsidies.

In June, the Senate took up H.R. 4213, the American Workers, State, and Business Relief Act of 2010, which would extend unemployment benefits to long term out of work Americans for an additional four months. Senator Bernard Sanders (I-VT) offered an amendment to the bill to eliminate \$35 billion in subsidies to the oil and gas industry, giveaways which were targeted for elimination in the President's budget; \$25 billion of the savings would go to deficit reduction and \$10 billion would be directed to the Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program, a grant program that allows communities to invest in projects that reduce energy usage.

On June 15, the Senate rejected the Sanders amendment by a vote of 35–61 (Senate roll call vote 187). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**





4. BIODIESEL TAX CREDIT

A tax credit for biodiesel was created in 2004 as part of the JOBS Act, which provided \$1.00 to the fuel blenders for each gallon of biodiesel blended into petroleum diesel. The credit expired at the end of 2009. Since the creation of this tax credit, the environmental benefit of biodiesel has been called into question as expanded soybean and other biodiesel feedstock production has contributed to the destruction of natural ecosystems and increased agricultural inputs. The credit is considered a driver of biodiesel production in the United States, resulting in environmental damage worldwide. For example, biodiesel produced from soybeans in the United States results in a significant amount of land use change internationally, and expanded soybean production is a primary factor in the deforestation of the Amazon Rainforest.

Multiple proposals were introduced throughout 2010 to retroactively extend the biodiesel tax credit. On September 16, Senator Charles Grassley (R-IA) introduced a motion to suspend the rules in order to consider an amendment to H.R. 5297, the Small Business Jobs Act, that would retroactively extend the biodiesel tax credit.

The motion was defeated by a vote of 41–58 (Senate roll call vote 234), which prevented Senator Grassley from offering the amendment to extend the tax credit for biodiesel. **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The tax credit for biodiesel was ultimately extended in December in H.R. 4853, the Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization, and Job Creation Act of 2010, which was signed into law by President Obama on December 18.



5. FUNDING RENEWABLE ENERGY

Federal investment in renewable energy and energy efficiency is essential to support these burgeoning industries as our country transitions away from fossil fuels towards a new energy future. Clean energy and energy efficiency received significant support in the economic recovery package of 2009, which boosted these critical industries at a time of economic crisis. Unfortunately, the 111th Congress failed to enact additional policies that would further unleash the job-creation potential of these industries, either in the form of a comprehensive clean energy and global warming bill or a federal Renewable Electricity Standard. However, as the Congress drew to a close, senators were presented with a unique opportunity to invest in these industries.

During consideration of H.R. 4853, legislation to extend the George W. Bush administration tax cuts, Senator Bernard Sanders (I-VT) proposed an amendment that would reject extending the tax cuts for the top income earners and use the savings to help low-income families and invest in clean energy. The Sanders amendment would provide critical funding for state and local energy efficiency projects, tax credits for investments in clean energy manufacturing, and loan guarantees for clean energy projects.

On December 15, the Sanders motion was defeated by a vote of 43–57 (Senate roll call vote 275). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

6. LEAD PAINT PROTECTIONS

Exposure to lead is linked to a range of human health impacts, from behavioral problems and learning disabilities to seizures and death. Children under the age of six are most at risk. To improve public health, the EPA now requires that firms performing renovation, repair, and painting projects that disturb lead-based paint in pre-1978 homes, child care facilities, and schools be certified to do so by the EPA. They also must use certified renovators who are trained by EPA-approved training providers to follow lead-safe work practices. Individuals can become certified renovators by taking an eight-hour training course. The rule mandating this requirement was published on April 22, 2008, and became effective on April 22, 2010.



On May 27, Senator Susan Collins (R-ME) introduced an amendment to H.R. 4899, the Supplemental Appropriations Act of 2010, that would deny funding for several months to the EPA to hold contractors liable for violating this rule. There was considerable debate at the time about the extent to which contractors had been provided with enough training opportunities by the EPA, with some senators arguing that there were insufficient opportunities and others countering that a myriad of opportunities had been provided and were continuing to be offered. Whatever the merits of each view, the fact remains that this amendment would not only prohibit the EPA from fining those contractors who had wanted to undergo safety training but were unable to do so through no fault of their own; the amendment could also prohibit the agency from fining those contractors who willfully took no precautions to confine or contain lead-contaminated paint chips, even if it resulted in the lead poisoning of children.

The amendment (Senate roll call vote 173) passed by a vote of 60–37. **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The amendment was not included in the final supplemental appropriations bill that became law. On June 18, the EPA extended the time for contractors to complete training until the end of 2010.

7. BORDER FENCE CONSTRUCTION

Nearly one-third of the 1,950 mile United States-Mexico border lies within military, tribal, and public lands, including wilderness areas, national wildlife refuges, national parks, national forests, national monuments, and state parks. Much of this country's most magnificent and imperiled wildlife — including jaguars, ocelot, bighorn sheep, Sonoran pronghorn, and hundreds of bird species — depend upon these public lands for intact habitat. Local communities also rely on access to protected natural areas for clean water, recreation, economic development, and high quality of life.

The 2005 REAL ID Act included a controversial provision that gives the secretary of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) unprecedented authority to waive all federal, state, and local laws to construct border barriers and walls, bypassing legal compliance and important public processes fundamental to America's democratic principles. Former DHS Secretary Michael Chertoff invoked this authority on several occasions, including most egregiously in April 2008 when he waived 35 federal public health, safety, environmental, and cultural laws along 500 miles of the United States-Mexico border. As a result, hundreds of miles of walls and accompanying roads have been constructed in an environmentally-destructive fashion, causing damaging floods and erosion, fracturing habitat and migration corridors that are vital to maintaining healthy wildlife populations, separating local communities, and wasting taxpayer dollars through poor and rushed planning.

During consideration of H.R. 4899, the Supplemental Appropriations Act of 2010, Senator Jim DeMint (R-SC) made a motion to suspend the rules to permit consideration of an environmentally harmful amendment that would require completion of at least 700 miles of reinforced wall along the Southwest border within one year of the bill's passage, at minimum doubling the wall mileage currently along the Southwest border.

On May 27, the DeMint motion to suspend Senate procedural rules to permit consideration of this damaging amendment failed by a vote of 45–52 (Senate roll call vote 172). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**



SENATE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

		LCV SCORES										
		% 2010	% 111th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Dirty Air Act	2 Dirty Air Act (2x Score)	3 Cutting Oil Subsidies	4 Biodiesel Tax Credit	5 Funding Renewable Energy	6 Lead Paint Protections	7 Border Fence Construction	
ALABAMA												
Sessions, J.	(R)	14	11	6	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	
Shelby	(R)	14	17	14	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	
ALASKA												
Begich	(D)	71	78	78	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	
Murkowski	(R)	0	22	17	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
ARIZONA												
Kyl	(R)	14	6	8	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	
McCain	(R)	14	11	23	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	
ARKANSAS												
Lincoln	(D)	0	50	49	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	?	?	
Pryor	(D)	14	67	60	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	
CALIFORNIA												
Boxer	(D)	100	100	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Feinstein	(D)	100	100	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
COLORADO												
Bennet	(D)	43	76	76	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	
Udall, Mark	(D)	57	83	97	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	
CONNECTICUT												
Dodd	(D)	71	89	77	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	
Lieberman	(I)	57	83	87	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	
DELAWARE												
Carper	(D)	100	100	83	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Coons*	(D)	100	100	100	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	✓	ⓘ	ⓘ	
Kaufman*	(D)	100	100	100	✓	✓	✓	✓	ⓘ	✓	✓	

* Senator Coons was sworn in on November 15 replacing Senator Kaufman.

SENATE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

FLORIDA

			% 2010	% 11th Congress	Lifetime	1 Dirty Air Act	2 Dirty Air Act (2x Score)	3 Cutting Oil Subsidies	4 Biodiesel Tax Credit	5 Funding Renewable Energy	6 Lead Paint Protections	7 Border Fence Construction
LeMieux	(R)	14	15	15	✗	✗	?	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
Nelson, Bill	(D)	86	94	65	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓

GEORGIA

Chambliss	(R)	0	0	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	?	?
Isakson	(R)	0	11	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

HAWAII

Akaka	(D)	86	94	69	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Inouye	(D)	86	94	55	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

IDAHO

Crapo	(R)	14	17	6	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
Risch	(R)	14	17	17	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗

ILLINOIS

Burriss*	(D)	100	100	100	✓	✓	✓	✓	ⓘ	✓	✓	✓
Durbin	(D)	100	100	84	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Kirk*	(R)	0	68	67	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	✗	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ

INDIANA

Bayh	(D)	14	56	74	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
Lugar	(R)	0	11	25	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

IOWA

Grassley	(R)	0	0	21	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Harkin	(D)	86	94	82	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓

KANSAS

Brownback	(R)	0	11	13	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Roberts	(R)	0	11	10	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

* Senator Kirk was sworn in on November 29 replacing Senator Burriss.

SENATE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- Ⓜ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

		LCV SCORES										
		% 2010	% 111th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Dirty Air Act	2 Dirty Air Act (2x Score)	3 Cutting Oil Subsidies	4 Biodiesel Tax Credit	5 Funding Renewable Energy	6 Lead Paint Protections	7 Border Fence Construction	
KENTUCKY												
Bunning	(R)	14	6	7	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	
McConnell	(R)	0	6	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
LOUISIANA												
Landrieu, M.	(D)	29	67	47	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	
Vitter	(R)	0	6	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
MAINE												
Collins	(R)	0	39	67	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
Snowe	(R)	0	44	65	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
MARYLAND												
Cardin	(D)	100	100	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Mikulski	(D)	100	100	84	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
MASSACHUSETTS												
Brown, Scott	(R)	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
Kerry	(D)	86	94	91	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	
MICHIGAN												
Levin, C.	(D)	100	100	79	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Stabenow	(D)	100	100	84	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
MINNESOTA												
Franken	(D)	86	92	92	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	
Klobuchar	(D)	86	94	93	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	
MISSISSIPPI												
Cochran	(R)	0	17	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
Wicker	(R)	0	11	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
MISSOURI												
Bond	(R)	0	11	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
McCaskill	(D)	43	72	75	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	?	?	

SENATE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- Ⓛ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

		% 2010	LCV SCORES									
			% 111th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Dirty Air Act	2 Dirty Air Act (2x Score)	3 Cutting Oil Subsidies	4 Biodiesel Tax Credit	5 Funding Renewable Energy	6 Lead Paint Protections	7 Border Fence Construction	
MONTANA												
Baucus, M.	(D)	43	78	67	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	
Tester	(D)	57	83	86	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	
NEBRASKA												
Johanns	(R)	0	6	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
Nelson, Ben	(D)	0	33	41	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
NEVADA												
Ensign	(R)	14	6	26	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	
Reid, H.	(D)	100	100	78	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
NEW HAMPSHIRE												
Gregg	(R)	14	17	42	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	
Shaheen	(D)	71	89	89	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	
NEW JERSEY												
Lautenberg	(D)	100	100	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Menendez	(D)	100	100	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
NEW MEXICO												
Bingaman	(D)	71	89	71	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	
Udall, T.	(D)	86	94	96	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	
NEW YORK												
Gillibrand	(D)	100	100	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Schumer	(D)	100	100	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
NORTH CAROLINA												
Burr	(R)	0	6	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
Hagan	(D)	43	78	78	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	
NORTH DAKOTA												
Conrad	(D)	57	72	57	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	
Dorgan	(D)	57	83	64	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	

SENATE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- Ⓜ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

		% 2010	LCV SCORES									
			% 111th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Dirty Air Act	2 Dirty Air Act (2x Score)	3 Cutting Oil Subsidies	4 Biodiesel Tax Credit	5 Funding Renewable Energy	6 Lead Paint Protections	7 Border Fence Construction	
OHIO												
Brown, Sherrod	(D)	100	94	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Voinovich	(R)	29	28	15	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	
OKLAHOMA												
Coburn	(R)	14	6	8	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	
Inhofe	(R)	0	0	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
OREGON												
Merkley	(D)	100	100	100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Wyden	(D)	86	94	89	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	
PENNSYLVANIA												
Casey	(D)	86	94	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	
Specter	(D)	86	72	46	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	
RHODE ISLAND												
Reed, J.	(D)	100	100	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Whitehouse	(D)	100	100	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
SOUTH CAROLINA												
DeMint	(R)	14	6	7	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	
Graham	(R)	0	6	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
SOUTH DAKOTA												
Johnson, Tim	(D)	71	89	70	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✗	✓	
Thune	(R)	0	0	14	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
TENNESSEE												
Alexander, L.	(R)	0	17	17	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
Corker	(R)	14	11	20	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	
TEXAS												
Cornyn	(R)	0	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
Hutchison	(R)	0	11	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	

SENATE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

UTAH

Senator	Party	% 2010	% 11th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Dirty Air Act	2 Dirty Air Act (2x Score)	3 Cutting Oil Subsidies	4 Biodiesel Tax Credit	5 Funding Renewable Energy	6 Lead Paint Protections	7 Border Fence Construction
Bennett	(R)	0	17	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Hatch	(R)	0	11	11	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

VERMONT

Leahy	(D)	100	100	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Sanders	(I)	100	100	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

VIRGINIA

Warner	(D)	86	94	94	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
Webb	(D)	57	83	86	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓

WASHINGTON

Cantwell	(D)	86	94	89	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
Murray	(D)	86	94	89	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓

WEST VIRGINIA

Byrd*	(D)	60	44	52	✓	✓	?	ⓘ	ⓘ	✗	✓
Goodwin*	(D)	100	100	100	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	✓	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ
Manchin*	(D)	0	0	0	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	✗	ⓘ	ⓘ
Rockefeller	(D)	43	72	80	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗

WISCONSIN

Feingold	(D)	100	100	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Kohl	(D)	71	89	83	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓

WYOMING

Barrasso	(R)	14	11	17	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
Enzi	(R)	14	11	5	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗

* Senator Goodwin was sworn in on July 16 following the passing of Senator Byrd on June 28. Senator Manchin was sworn in on November 15 replacing Senator Goodwin.

2010 HOUSE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS



1 & 2. GULF OIL SPILL RESPONSE

In response to the Deepwater Horizon oil spill in the Gulf of Mexico, the largest environmental disaster in our nation's history, the House of Representatives debated H.R. 3534, the Consolidated Land, Energy, and Aquatic Resources Act, or CLEAR Act, which was introduced by Natural Resources Committee Chairman Nick Rahall (D-WV).

The CLEAR Act included unlimited liability for responsible parties that cause oil spills, significant offshore drilling and regulatory reforms, language designed to strengthen safety and environmental standards for new offshore drilling and renegotiated royalty payments, and modest Gulf restoration proposals. The CLEAR Act also included full funding, more than \$900 million, for the Land and Water Conservation Fund. Unfortunately, an amendment to lift the six-month federal moratorium on deepwater drilling early, pending meeting certain safety requirements, was approved on the House floor. On balance, however, the bill did far more good than harm and was a significant step forward.

On July 30, the House took up the CLEAR Act. Representative Bill Cassidy (R-LA) introduced a motion to send H.R. 3534 back to the House Natural Resources Committee with instructions to report the bill back immediately with an amendment terminating the deepwater drilling moratorium. This motion, technically termed a motion to recommit, would have recklessly resumed deepwater drilling, and it failed by a vote of 166–239 (House roll call vote 512). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** Later that day, the CLEAR Act passed the House by a vote of 209–193 (House roll call vote 513). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** Though the bill passed the full House, H.R. 3534 never came up for a vote in the Senate.

3. ENERGY EFFICIENCY

Housing accounts for 20% of our total energy usage, but increasing energy efficiency could reduce that number dramatically. For many homeowners, investing in measures that increase energy efficiency makes economic and environmental sense: the savings from lower utility bills quickly pay back the up-front cost of the improvements and decrease our dependence on dirty sources of energy. However, many consumers cannot afford new appliances, added insulation, or other improvements.

To assist consumers, Representative Peter Welch (D-VT) introduced H.R. 5019, the Home Star Energy Retrofit Act of 2010, which was later included in a larger package, H.R. 4785, the Rural Energy Savings Program. This legislation would offer rebates or interest-free loans for efficiency measures, enabling consumers to reduce their utility bills while creating needed construction and manufacturing jobs.

H.R. 4785 would authorize \$5 billion over five years to create two energy efficiency loan programs, including \$850 million annually for the Home Star Energy Efficiency Loan Program to support loans to finance energy efficiency home renovations and \$150 million annually for the Rural Energy Savings Program to make loans to eligible entities for energy efficiency measures in rural areas.

On September 16, the House passed H.R. 4785 by a vote of 240–172 (House roll call vote 530). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The package was introduced in the Senate by Senator Jeff Merkley (D-OR) as S. 3102, but it was not voted upon.



4. OCEAN ACIDIFICATION PREVENTION

Elevated carbon dioxide emissions are not only a problem for our atmosphere. Excess carbon dioxide is also absorbed by our oceans, and is leading to changes in the chemistry of seawater in a process known as ocean acidification. A more acidic ocean could wipe out species, disrupt the food web and have an adverse impact on fishing, tourism and other important economic activities. Increased acidity also reduces carbonate—the mineral used to form the shells and skeletons of many shellfish and corals—and leads to slowed growth and weaker shells, similar to the effects of osteoporosis in humans.

On June 9, Representative Jay Inslee (D-WA) made a motion to suspend the rules and adopt resolution H. Res. 989 to express the sense of the House of Representatives that the United States should adopt national policies and pursue international agreements to prevent ocean acidification, study the impacts of ocean acidification, and address the effects of ocean acidification on marine ecosystems and coastal economies. Under a suspension of the rules, a two-thirds majority of those present and voting is required for adoption. The motion was rejected by a vote of 241–170 (House roll call vote 341). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

5. ALGAL BLOOM REDUCTION

Harmful algal blooms, sometimes called “red tides,” are known to kill fish, marine mammals, and birds. They can contaminate shellfish with toxins and sicken swimmers and boaters. Red tides and dead zones, which are caused by another kind of algal bloom that sucks all the oxygen out of water when it dies and decomposes, appear dozens of times each year off our coasts and in our bays and freshwater lakes. Researchers have estimated that harmful algal blooms cost coastal communities nearly \$100 million every year. These blooms forced the closure of Maine’s shellfisheries for several months in 2009, costing over 3,000 jobs and shutting down a \$50 million seasonal industry. They also killed more than four million fish off the coast of Texas, and over 10,000 sea birds off the northwest Pacific coast. The incidence and severity of these blooms is growing rapidly, driven by human causes such as nutrient pollution and the warming of our oceans and lakes by global climate change. Scientists have documented a 30-fold increase in the worldwide frequency of harmful algal blooms since 1960.

H.R. 3650, the Harmful Algal Bloom and Hypoxia Research and Control Act, introduced by Representative Brian Baird (D-WA), would establish a national strategy for addressing harmful algal blooms and dead zones, and fund the development of regional research and action plans with the intent of improving our ability to detect, predict, and control harmful algal blooms and dead zones.

On March 12, the House passed H.R. 3650 by a vote of 251–103 (House roll call vote 109). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The full Senate did not act on companion legislation, though a similar bill, S. 952, was passed by the Commerce Committee.

6. UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER RESTORATION

The health of the Upper Mississippi River Basin is a critical national environmental issue. For more than 100 years, river management as well as nutrients and sediment coming into the river have had an adverse effect on the health of the Upper Basin. Water quality and habitat for birds and wildlife have steadily declined. In addition, the management in the Upper Basin leads to increased problems downstream and in the Gulf of Mexico, including the growing hypoxic zone in the Gulf.

Representative Ron Kind (D-WI) introduced H.R. 3671, the Upper Mississippi River Basin Protection Act, which authorizes \$6.25 million each year for a program to monitor the environmental quality of the River Basin under the direction of the Department of Interior through the United States Geological Survey.



On March 19, H.R. 3671 passed by a vote of 289–121 (House roll call vote 137). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** A hearing was held in the Energy and Natural Resources Subcommittee on Water and Power on companion legislation, S. 2779, introduced by Senator Amy Klobuchar (D-MN), but there was no further Senate action.



7. WATER EDUCATION

Environmental education prepares Americans for 21st century green jobs, increases interest in science, technology, engineering and math, and helps create the next generation of environmental stewards. Ocean and watershed education is needed now more than ever in light of 2010's devastating oil spill in the Gulf of Mexico, the worst environmental disaster in our nation's history.

Representative Lois Capps (D-CA) introduced H.R. 3644, the Ocean, Coastal, and Watershed Education Act, to authorize and increase funding for two key National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) education programs, the national Environmental Literacy Grants (ELG) program and the regional Bay-Watershed Education and Training (B-WET) program. ELG helps NOAA establish new partnerships with top science centers, aquaria and education institutions to deliver environmental education materials to thousands of teachers who educate students and the public about vital issues around our changing planet. B-WET provides competitive grants to leverage existing environmental education programs in several regional watersheds around the country. B-WET not only educates students about the environment, it also facilitates outdoor learning in the watershed.

On March 19, the House passed H.R. 3644 by a vote of 244–170 (House roll call vote 142). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate did not vote on H.R. 3644, although a broader authorization for NOAA's education programs that did not include specific funding levels was included in H.R. 5116, the America COMPETES Act, which was signed into law by the President on January 4, 2011.



8. ESTUARY PROTECTION

Estuaries are among the most productive ecosystems on earth. Their unique mix of freshwater and saltwater allows for a diversity of plant, animal and aquatic species, and the associated wetlands and riparian zones provide countless ecosystem benefits and protection from storm surges and weather events. 75% of the United States commercial fish catch and 80–90% of the recreational fish catch comes from estuaries.

To protect these important systems in the face of mounting stresses from development and increased pollution loads, Congress created the National Estuary Program (NEP) in 1987 to protect and restore 28 biologically diverse and productive estuarine systems throughout the United States.

H.R. 4715, the Clean Estuaries Act of 2010, reauthorizes the NEP for an additional seven years, and increases the annual authorized funding level to \$50 million. H.R. 4715 requires periodic updates to restoration plans and seeks to improve coordination by requiring federal agencies to participate in the management planning process. Finally, it requires that approved programs must now identify estuary vulnerabilities to climate change impacts, including sea level rise, and prepare adaptation responses, as well as work to educate the public on estuary health issues and develop performance measures and targets.

On April 15, the House passed H.R. 4715 by a vote of 278–128 (House roll call vote 209). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate Environment and Public Works Committee amended and reported the bill on June 30, but it was never considered on the Senate floor.

9. SAN ANTONIO MISSIONS PARK

Representative **Ciro Rodriguez (D-TX)** and Senator **Kay Bailey Hutchison (R-TX)** introduced legislation to expand the boundary of the San Antonio Missions National Historical Park by 151 acres and conduct a study of potential land acquisitions. H.R. 4438's innovative expansion would be managed through cooperative agreements, and ownership would remain with existing agencies and institutions.

One of the areas that would be studied for potential inclusion within the Park's boundary is eight miles of the San Antonio River. The lands considered in the 151-acre boundary adjustment would provide a vital buffer to the San Antonio River and receive treatments to remove invasive exotic plants. Adding eight additional miles would also help the river fully recover from the devastating effects of channelization and begin the long process of natural ecosystem restoration. Finally, the bill would expand green space in a densely populated urban area.

On July 13, the House took up H.R. 4438 under suspension of the rules and passed the bill by a vote of 264–114 (House roll call vote 435). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** Although a hearing was held on September 29 on companion bill S. 3524 in the Senate Energy and Natural Resources Subcommittee on Public Lands and Forests as well as the Subcommittee on National Parks, no further Senate action was taken to advance this legislation.

10. DIRTY AIR ACT COSPONSORSHIP

Global warming is the central environmental challenge of our time. In 2007 in *Massachusetts v. EPA*, the Supreme Court ruled that global warming pollutants were covered by the Clean Air Act and directed the EPA to determine whether the continued emission of such pollutants endangered the country's public health and welfare. In December 2009, the EPA issued this "endangerment finding," concluding that, based on the best science, global warming pollution presents a clear threat to public health and welfare. The endangerment finding was the scientific determination necessary to allow the agency to start limiting global warming pollution under the Clean Air Act.

Representatives introduced eight bills to block, weaken, or delay the EPA's implementation of the Clean Air Act to reduce harmful global warming pollution. These bills fall into three categories: Congressional Review Act disapproval resolutions to overturn the EPA's science-based endangerment finding, legislation declaring that greenhouse gases are not pollutants subject to the Clean Air Act, and legislation delaying the EPA's actions to reduce carbon pollution from the nation's biggest stationary sources of pollution, like coal plants and oil refineries. The four disapproval resolutions (H.J. Res. 66, H.J. Res. 76, H.J. Res. 77, H. Res. 974) would, for the first time, substitute Congress' political judgment for the EPA's scientific judgment on the public health threat posed by pollution. The three bills (H.R. 391, H.R. 4396, H.R. 4572) declaring that greenhouse gases are not pollutants under the Clean Air Act would reverse the Supreme Court's landmark 2007 decision. The bill to delay the EPA's actions (H.R. 4753) would put a stop-work order on the EPA's commonsense steps to reduce carbon pollution from power plants and refineries, among other large polluters. These harmful bills would overturn sound science, threaten public health, increase our dependence on oil, and block long-overdue action to address climate change and to hold the nation's biggest polluters accountable.

201 representatives cosponsored one or more of these Dirty Air Acts during the 111th Congress. **COSPONSORSHIP IS THE ANTI-ENVIRONMENT ACTION.** None of these bills came to a vote in the House. However, Senator **Lisa Murkowski (R-AK)** offered a disapproval resolution (S.J. Res. 26) to overturn the EPA's endangerment finding, which was defeated on June 10.



HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

			% 2010	% 11th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Gutting Gulf Oil Spill Response	2 Gulf Oil Spill Response	3 Energy Efficiency	4 Ocean Acidification Prevention	5 Algal Bloom Reduction	6 Upper Mississippi River Restoration	7 Water Education	8 Estuary Protection	9 San Antonio Missions Park	10 Dirty Air Act Cosponsorship
ALABAMA															
1	Bonner	(R)	20	8	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗
2	Bright	(D)	40	38	38	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
3	Rogers, Mike D.	(R)	20	13	13	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗
4	Aderholt	(R)	0	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Griffith	(R)	10	33	33	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	?	✗
6	Bachus, S.	(R)	20	8	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗
7	Davis, A.	(D)	70	79	69	✓	✗	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	?	✓
ALASKA															
AL	Young, D.	(R)	0	17	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	?	✗	✗
ARIZONA															
1	Kirkpatrick	(D)	50	71	71	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗
2	Franks, T.	(R)	0	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Shadegg	(R)	0	0	5	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Pastor	(D)	100	100	82	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Mitchell	(D)	60	67	81	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓
6	Flake	(R)	0	0	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Grijalva	(D)	80	92	94	✓	✓	✓	✗	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Giffords	(D)	80	92	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	?	✓
ARKANSAS															
1	Berry	(D)	50	67	45	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✗
2	Snyder	(D)	100	100	85	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Boozman	(R)	10	8	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Ross, M.	(D)	70	71	52	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
CALIFORNIA															
1	Thompson, M.	(D)	90	96	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Herger	(R)	0	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Lungren	(R)	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	McClintock	(R)	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Matsui	(D)	100	100	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

		LCV SCORES													
		% 2010	% 111th Congress	% Lifetime	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
					Gutting Gulf Oil Spill Response	Gulf Oil Spill Response	Energy Efficiency	Ocean Acidification Prevention	Algal Bloom Reduction	Upper Mississippi River Restoration	Water Education	Estuary Protection	San Antonio Missions Park	Dirty Air Act Cosponsorship	
6	Woolsey	(D)	100	100	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
7	Miller, George	(D)	100	92	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
8	Pelosi	(D)	N/A	N/A	92	THE SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE VOTES AT HER DISCRETION.									
9	Lee	(D)	90	96	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	
10	Garamendi	(D)	90	92	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	
11	McNerney	(D)	100	96	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
12	Speier	(D)	90	92	91	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
13	Stark	(D)	80	75	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓	✓	✓	
14	Eshoo	(D)	90	96	97	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
15	Honda	(D)	100	100	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
16	Lofgren	(D)	70	88	90	✓	✓	✓	?	?	?	✓	✓	✓	
17	Farr	(D)	100	100	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
18	Cardoza	(D)	100	92	73	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
19	Radanovich	(R)	10	4	6	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✓	✗	
20	Costa	(D)	80	75	68	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
21	Nunes	(R)	0	4	2	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	
22	McCarthy, K.	(R)	0	0	0	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
23	Capps	(D)	100	100	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
24	Gallegly	(R)	0	4	13	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	?	✗	✗	
25	McKeon	(R)	0	8	8	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
26	Dreier	(R)	20	8	16	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	
27	Sherman	(D)	100	100	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
28	Berman	(D)	90	96	87	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
29	Schiff	(D)	100	100	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
30	Waxman	(D)	90	96	91	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
31	Becerra	(D)	100	100	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
32	Chu	(D)	100	100	100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
33	Watson	(D)	70	88	92	?	?	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
34	Roybal-Allard	(D)	100	96	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES												
			% 2010	% 111th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Gutting Gulf Oil Spill Response	2 Gulf Oil Spill Response	3 Energy Efficiency	4 Ocean Acidification Prevention	5 Algal Bloom Reduction	6 Upper Mississippi River Restoration	7 Water Education	8 Estuary Protection	9 San Antonio Missions Park	10 Dirty Air Act Cosponsorship
35	Waters	(D)	90	92	90	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
36	Harman	(D)	90	92	83	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
37	Richardson	(D)	90	96	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓
38	Napolitano	(D)	90	96	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
39	Sánchez, Linda	(D)	80	79	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓
40	Royce	(R)	0	4	15	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
41	Lewis, Jerry	(R)	0	4	13	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
42	Miller, Gary	(R)	0	0	2	✗	?	✗	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
43	Baca	(D)	80	92	72	✓	✓	✓	✗	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
44	Calvert	(R)	0	8	8	✗	✗	✗	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
45	Bono Mack	(R)	30	38	18	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
46	Rohrabacher	(R)	0	4	14	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	?	✗
47	Sanchez, Loretta	(D)	100	100	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
48	Campbell	(R)	0	0	10	?	?	✗	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
49	Issa	(R)	0	4	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
50	Bilbray	(R)	30	25	48	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	?	✗	✓
51	Filner	(D)	90	96	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓
52	Hunter	(R)	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
53	Davis, S.	(D)	100	96	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
COLORADO															
1	DeGette	(D)	100	100	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Polis	(D)	100	100	100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Salazar, J.	(D)	60	71	71	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
4	Markey, B.	(D)	100	88	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Lamborn	(R)	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Coffman	(R)	0	4	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗
7	Perlmutter	(D)	60	83	86	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	?	✓
CONNECTICUT															
1	Larson, J.	(D)	100	100	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Courtney	(D)	100	100	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

			% 2010	% 111th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Gutting Gulf Oil Spill Response	2 Gulf Oil Spill Response	3 Energy Efficiency	4 Ocean Acidification Prevention	5 Algal Bloom Reduction	6 Upper Mississippi River Restoration	7 Water Education	8 Estuary Protection	9 San Antonio Missions Park	10 Dirty Air Act Cosponsorship	
3	DeLauro	(D)	100	100	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Himes	(D)	80	92	92	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Murphy, C.	(D)	90	96	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
DELAWARE																
AL	Castle	(R)	70	63	65	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
FLORIDA																
1	Miller, J.	(R)	10	4	9	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	?	✗	✗
2	Boyd, A.	(D)	70	83	56	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✗	✗
3	Brown, C.	(D)	100	96	85	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Crenshaw	(R)	60	29	11	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Brown-Waite, G.	(R)	10	25	17	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Stearns	(R)	0	0	16	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Mica	(R)	10	8	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
8	Grayson	(D)	100	100	100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
9	Bilirakis	(R)	30	21	23	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
10	Young, C.W.	(R)	10	21	29	?	?	?	✗	?	?	✗	✓	?	✗	✗
11	Castor	(D)	100	100	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
12	Putnam	(R)	30	21	9	✓	✗	?	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	?	✗	✗
13	Buchanan	(R)	30	29	35	✓	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	?	✓	✓	✗	✗
14	Mack	(R)	20	13	13	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
15	Posey	(R)	20	13	12	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
16	Rooney	(R)	20	17	17	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
17	Meek, K.	(D)	80	92	90	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓
18	Ros-Lehtinen	(R)	50	54	43	✓	✗	✗	✓	?	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓
19	Deutch*	(D)	86	86	86	✓	✓	✓	✓	⓪	⓪	⓪	✓	?	✓	✓
20	Wasserman Schultz	(D)	90	92	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓
21	Diaz-Balart, L.	(R)	40	38	28	✗	✗	✗	✓	?	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
22	Klein, R.	(D)	90	96	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
23	Hastings, A.	(D)	90	83	82	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓

* Representative Deutch was sworn in on April 13 following the resignation of Representative Wexler on January 3.

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

		LCV SCORES												
		% 2010	% 11th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Gutting Gulf Oil Spill Response	2 Gulf Oil Spill Response	3 Energy Efficiency	4 Ocean Acidification Prevention	5 Algal Bloom Reduction	6 Upper Mississippi River Restoration	7 Water Education	8 Estuary Protection	9 San Antonio Missions Park	10 Dirty Air Act Cosponsorship
24	Kosmas (D)	90	96	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓
25	Diaz-Balart, M. (R)	40	38	19	✗	✗	✗	✓	?	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓
GEORGIA														
1	Kingston (R)	0	0	11	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Bishop, S. (D)	90	92	50	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
3	Westmoreland, L. (R)	0	0	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Johnson, H. (D)	100	96	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Lewis, John (D)	100	88	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Price, T. (R)	0	0	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Linder (R)	0	0	11	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗
8	Marshall (D)	50	54	57	✗	✗	✗	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
9	Deal* (R)	0	0	16	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	?	?	?	ⓘ	ⓘ	✗
9	Graves, T.* (R)	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	✗	✗
10	Broun (R)	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
11	Gingrey (R)	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
12	Barrow (D)	90	83	69	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
13	Scott, D. (D)	100	96	74	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
HAWAII														
1	Djou** (R)	83	83	83	✓	✗	✓	✓	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	✓	✓
2	Hirono (D)	100	100	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
IDAHO														
1	Minnick (D)	100	67	67	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Simpson (R)	10	17	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
ILLINOIS														
1	Rush (D)	80	92	77	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	?	✓
2	Jackson, J. (D)	100	92	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Lipinski (D)	100	92	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Gutierrez (D)	70	88	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓	?	✓
5	Quigley (D)	100	100	100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Roskam (R)	0	17	18	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗

* Representative Graves was sworn in on June 8 following the resignation of Representative Deal on March 31.

** Representative Djou was sworn in on May 22 following the resignation of Representative Abercrombie on February 28.

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

			% 2010	% 111th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Gutting Gulf Oil Spill Response	2 Gulf Oil Spill Response	3 Energy Efficiency	4 Ocean Acidification Prevention	5 Algal Bloom Reduction	6 Upper Mississippi River Restoration	7 Water Education	8 Estuary Protection	9 San Antonio Missions Park	10 Dirty Air Act Cosponsorship
7	Davis, D.	(D)	90	96	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓
8	Bean	(D)	80	92	85	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓
9	Schakowsky	(D)	100	100	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	Kirk, M.	(R)	70	68	67	✓	✗	✗	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
11	Halvorson	(D)	90	92	92	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
12	Costello	(D)	60	75	66	✓	✓	✓	✗	?	✓	✓	✓	?	✗
13	Biggert	(R)	50	38	33	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
14	Foster	(D)	90	75	74	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
15	Johnson, Timothy	(R)	80	58	65	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
16	Manzullo	(R)	10	4	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
17	Hare	(D)	90	92	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓
18	Schock	(R)	10	21	21	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
19	Shimkus	(R)	10	8	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✓	✗	✗	?	✗
INDIANA															
1	Visclosky	(D)	100	92	73	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Donnelly	(D)	70	75	74	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
3	Souder	(R)	0	0	9	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	✗	?	✗	✗	ⓘ	✗
4	Buyer	(R)	0	4	7	?	?	✗	✗	?	?	?	✗	?	✗
5	Burton	(R)	0	0	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Pence	(R)	0	0	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	?	✗	✗
7	Carson	(D)	100	100	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Ellsworth	(D)	50	71	70	✗	✗	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
9	Hill	(D)	90	88	76	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
IOWA															
1	Braley	(D)	100	100	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Loeb sack	(D)	90	96	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Boswell	(D)	80	88	62	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
4	Latham	(R)	30	13	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓
5	King, S.	(R)	10	4	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES												
			% 2010	% 111th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Gutting Gulf Oil Spill Response	2 Gulf Oil Spill Response	3 Energy Efficiency	4 Ocean Acidification Prevention	5 Algal Bloom Reduction	6 Upper Mississippi River Restoration	7 Water Education	8 Estuary Protection	9 San Antonio Missions Park	10 Dirty Air Act Cosponsorship
KANSAS															
1	Moran, Jerry	(R)	0	0	9	?	?	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	?	✗
2	Jenkins	(R)	0	4	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Moore, D.	(D)	100	96	87	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Tiaht	(R)	0	0	4	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	?	✗
KENTUCKY															
1	Whitfield	(R)	30	29	16	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗
2	Guthrie	(R)	0	4	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Yarmuth	(D)	90	96	98	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Davis, G.	(R)	0	4	5	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Rogers, H.	(R)	0	13	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Chandler	(D)	100	96	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
LOUISIANA															
1	Scalise	(R)	20	8	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Cao	(R)	50	46	46	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Melancon	(D)	90	75	53	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
4	Fleming	(R)	20	8	8	✗	✗	?	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	?	✗
5	Alexander, R.	(R)	20	17	11	✗	✗	✗	✓	?	✓	✗	✗	?	✗
6	Cassidy	(R)	40	29	29	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗
7	Boustany	(R)	20	13	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
MAINE															
1	Pingree	(D)	100	100	100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Michaud	(D)	100	100	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
MARYLAND															
1	Kratovil	(D)	100	88	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓
2	Ruppersberger	(D)	80	83	85	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓
3	Sarbanes	(D)	100	100	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Edwards, D.	(D)	90	96	96	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Hoyer	(D)	100	92	77	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Bartlett	(R)	10	13	20	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

			% 2010	LCV SCORES											
				% 111th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Gutting Gulf Oil Spill Response	2 Gulf Oil Spill Response	3 Energy Efficiency	4 Ocean Acidification Prevention	5 Algal Bloom Reduction	6 Upper Mississippi River Restoration	7 Water Education	8 Estuary Protection	9 San Antonio Missions Park	10 Dirty Air Act Cosponsorship
7	Cummings	(D)	100	100	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Van Hollen	(D)	100	100	99	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
MASSACHUSETTS															
1	Olver	(D)	100	100	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Neal	(D)	100	96	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	McGovern	(D)	100	100	100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Frank, B.	(D)	80	92	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	?	✓	
5	Tsongas	(D)	100	100	100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
6	Tierney	(D)	90	96	98	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
7	Markey, E.	(D)	100	100	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
8	Capuano	(D)	100	96	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
9	Lynch	(D)	100	96	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
10	Delahunt	(D)	60	83	89	?	?	?	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	
MICHIGAN															
1	Stupak	(D)	100	96	69	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Hoekstra	(R)	0	4	14	?	?	✗	?	?	?	?	?	?	✗
3	Ehlers	(R)	70	58	59	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	
4	Camp	(R)	0	13	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	
5	Kildee	(D)	100	100	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
6	Upton	(R)	0	21	37	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
7	Schauer	(D)	90	96	96	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
8	Rogers, Mike	(R)	20	21	9	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	
9	Peters	(D)	100	100	100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
10	Miller, C.	(R)	0	29	19	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
11	McCotter	(R)	10	25	14	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	?	✗	
12	Levin, S.	(D)	100	100	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
13	Kilpatrick	(D)	60	83	84	?	?	✓	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	
14	Conyers	(D)	100	96	77	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
15	Dingell	(D)	90	92	73	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

				LCV SCORES												
				% 2010	% 11th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Gutting Gulf Oil Spill Response	2 Gulf Oil Spill Response	3 Energy Efficiency	4 Ocean Acidification Prevention	5 Algal Bloom Reduction	6 Upper Mississippi River Restoration	7 Water Education	8 Estuary Protection	9 San Antonio Missions Park	10 Dirty Air Act Cosponsorship
MINNESOTA																
1	Walz	(D)	90	92	86	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
2	Kline, J.	(R)	20	8	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Paulsen	(R)	40	29	29	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	
4	McCollum	(D)	100	100	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
5	Ellison	(D)	90	92	96	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
6	Bachmann	(R)	10	4	4	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	
7	Peterson	(D)	80	79	45	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	
8	Oberstar	(D)	100	100	74	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
MISSISSIPPI																
1	Childers	(D)	70	67	67	✓	✗	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
2	Thompson, B.	(D)	100	100	76	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
3	Harper	(R)	0	4	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
4	Taylor	(D)	70	54	44	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✗	
MISSOURI																
1	Clay	(D)	80	92	86	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	
2	Akin	(R)	0	0	3	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	
3	Carnahan	(D)	90	96	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	
4	Skelton	(D)	50	75	43	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	
5	Cleaver	(D)	100	96	87	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
6	Graves, S.	(R)	10	8	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	
7	Blunt	(R)	0	0	2	✗	?	?	✗	?	?	?	✗	?	✗	
8	Emerson	(R)	0	8	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	
9	Luetkemeyer	(R)	0	4	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	
MONTANA																
AL	Rehberg	(R)	10	8	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	
NEBRASKA																
1	Fortenberry	(R)	30	33	30	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	?	?	✓	?	✗	
2	Terry	(R)	10	13	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	
3	Smith, Adrian	(R)	20	8	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

% 2010

% 111th Congress

% Lifetime

1 Gutting Gulf Oil Spill Response

2 Gulf Oil Spill Response

3 Energy Efficiency

4 Ocean Acidification Prevention

5 Algal Bloom Reduction

6 Upper Mississippi River Restoration

7 Water Education

8 Estuary Protection

9 San Antonio Missions Park

10 Dirty Air Act Cosponsorship

NEVADA

1	Berkley	(D)	90	92	86	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Heller	(R)	10	8	14	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
3	Titus	(D)	90	96	96	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

NEW HAMPSHIRE

1	Shea-Porter	(D)	90	96	95	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Hodes	(D)	90	96	98	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

NEW JERSEY

1	Andrews	(D)	100	100	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	LoBiondo	(R)	40	63	73	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓
3	Adler	(D)	90	92	92	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Smith, C.	(R)	50	67	73	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
5	Garrett	(R)	0	0	12	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Pallone	(D)	100	100	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
7	Lance	(R)	40	58	58	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
8	Pascrell	(D)	100	96	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
9	Rothman	(D)	100	96	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	Payne	(D)	100	100	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
11	Frelinghuysen	(R)	20	21	52	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓
12	Holt	(D)	100	100	100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
13	Sires	(D)	90	88	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

NEW MEXICO

1	Heinrich	(D)	100	100	100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Teague	(D)	80	83	83	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Luján	(D)	90	96	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

NEW YORK

1	Bishop, T.	(D)	100	100	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Israel	(D)	100	100	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	King, P.	(R)	10	25	20	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

3. HOUSE SCORES

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES												
			% 2010	% 111th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Gutting Gulf Oil Spill Response	2 Gulf Oil Spill Response	3 Energy Efficiency	4 Ocean Acidification Prevention	5 Algal Bloom Reduction	6 Upper Mississippi River Restoration	7 Water Education	8 Estuary Protection	9 San Antonio Missions Park	10 Dirty Air Act Cosponsorship
4	McCarthy, C.	(D)	100	96	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Ackerman	(D)	60	83	87	✓	✓	?	✓	?	?	?	✓	✓	✓
6	Meeks, G.	(D)	100	100	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
7	Crowley	(D)	100	100	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Nadler	(D)	100	100	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
9	Weiner	(D)	90	88	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	Towns	(D)	90	96	78	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓
11	Clarke	(D)	100	100	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
12	Velázquez	(D)	100	96	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
13	McMahon	(D)	90	96	96	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
14	Maloney	(D)	90	96	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
15	Rangel	(D)	100	100	82	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
16	Serrano	(D)	100	100	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
17	Engel	(D)	100	96	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
18	Lowe	(D)	100	100	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
19	Hall, J.	(D)	100	100	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
20	Murphy, S.	(D)	80	83	83	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
21	Tonko	(D)	100	100	100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
22	Hinchey	(D)	100	100	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
23	Owens	(D)	60	67	67	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓
24	Arcuri	(D)	100	92	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
25	Maffei	(D)	90	96	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓
26	Lee, C.	(R)	10	13	12	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗
27	Higgins	(D)	90	96	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
28	Slaughter	(D)	100	100	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
29	Vacant					THIS SEAT WAS VACANT FOR THE VOTES INCLUDED IN THIS SCORECARD.									
NORTH CAROLINA															
1	Butterfield	(D)	100	96	85	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Etheridge	(D)	90	92	79	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

			% 2010	% 111th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Gutting Gulf Oil Spill Response	2 Gulf Oil Spill Response	3 Energy Efficiency	4 Ocean Acidification Prevention	5 Algal Bloom Reduction	6 Upper Mississippi River Restoration	7 Water Education	8 Estuary Protection	9 San Antonio Missions Park	10 Dirty Air Act Cosponsorship	
3	Jones	(R)	40	33	23	✗	✗	✗	✓	?	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗
4	Price, D.	(D)	100	100	86	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Foxx	(R)	0	0	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Coble	(R)	0	0	13	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	McIntyre	(D)	90	83	60	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
8	Kissell	(D)	100	88	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
9	Myrick	(R)	0	0	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
10	McHenry	(R)	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
11	Shuler	(D)	90	88	79	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓
12	Watt	(D)	100	100	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
13	Miller, B.	(D)	100	100	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
NORTH DAKOTA																
AL	Pomeroy	(D)	60	67	60	✗	✗	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
OHIO																
1	Driehaus	(D)	100	100	100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Schmidt	(R)	0	13	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗
3	Turner	(R)	10	29	12	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Jordan	(R)	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Latta	(R)	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Wilson, Charlie	(D)	70	79	72	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
7	Austria	(R)	10	4	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
8	Boehner	(R)	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
9	Kaptur	(D)	70	88	77	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓
10	Kucinich	(D)	100	88	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
11	Fudge	(D)	100	96	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
12	Tiberi	(R)	20	25	14	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
13	Sutton	(D)	100	100	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
14	LaTourette	(R)	40	42	28	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
15	Kilroy	(D)	100	100	100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
16	Bocchieri	(D)	90	92	92	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

		LCV SCORES													
		% 2010	% 11th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Gutting Gulf Oil Spill Response	2 Gulf Oil Spill Response	3 Energy Efficiency	4 Ocean Acidification Prevention	5 Algal Bloom Reduction	6 Upper Mississippi River Restoration	7 Water Education	8 Estuary Protection	9 San Antonio Missions Park	10 Dirty Air Act Cosponsorship	
17	Ryan, T.	(D)	100	100	82	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
18	Space	(D)	60	75	72	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	
OKLAHOMA															
1	Sullivan	(R)	0	0	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
2	Boren	(D)	60	58	36	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	
3	Lucas	(R)	30	13	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	
4	Cole	(R)	30	17	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	
5	Fallin	(R)	10	4	4	✗	✗	?	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	
OREGON															
1	Wu	(D)	100	100	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
2	Walden	(R)	10	29	13	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✓	✗	
3	Blumenauer	(D)	100	100	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
4	DeFazio	(D)	90	83	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	
5	Schrader	(D)	80	88	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✗	
PENNSYLVANIA															
1	Brady, R.	(D)	100	100	78	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
2	Fattah	(D)	100	100	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
3	Dahlkemper	(D)	100	92	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
4	Altmire	(D)	80	79	79	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	
5	Thompson, G.	(R)	10	4	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	
6	Gerlach	(R)	30	38	54	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	
7	Sestak	(D)	100	96	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
8	Murphy, P.	(D)	70	83	88	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	?	✓	
9	Shuster	(R)	0	4	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
10	Carney	(D)	70	75	79	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	
11	Kanjorski	(D)	90	96	72	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
12	Critz*	(D)	67	67	67	✓	✓	✓	✗	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪	✓	
13	Schwartz	(D)	100	100	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
14	Doyle	(D)	90	92	65	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	

* Representative Critz was sworn in on May 18 following the passing of Representative Murtha on February 28.

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

			LCV SCORES												
			% 2010	% 111th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Gutting Gulf Oil Spill Response	2 Gulf Oil Spill Response	3 Energy Efficiency	4 Ocean Acidification Prevention	5 Algal Bloom Reduction	6 Upper Mississippi River Restoration	7 Water Education	8 Estuary Protection	9 San Antonio Missions Park	10 Dirty Air Act Cosponsorship
15	Dent	(R)	40	38	32	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗
16	Pitts	(R)	10	4	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
17	Holden	(D)	70	79	59	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
18	Murphy, T.	(R)	0	21	14	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
19	Platts	(R)	20	29	35	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
RHODE ISLAND															
1	Kennedy	(D)	80	75	89	✓	✓	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Langevin	(D)	100	100	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
SOUTH CAROLINA															
1	Brown, H.	(R)	0	4	6	?	?	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	?	✗	✗
2	Wilson, J.	(R)	10	4	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
3	Barrett	(R)	10	4	5	✗	?	✓	?	✗	✗	✗	?	?	✗
4	Inglis	(R)	10	17	26	✗	✗	✓	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Spratt	(D)	90	96	78	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
6	Clyburn	(D)	100	96	83	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
SOUTH DAKOTA															
AL	Herseth Sandlin	(D)	70	67	64	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
TENNESSEE															
1	Roe	(R)	0	4	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Duncan	(R)	0	4	13	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Wamp	(R)	10	13	11	?	?	✗	✗	?	✓	?	?	?	✗
4	Davis, L.	(D)	60	63	59	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓	✓	✗
5	Cooper	(D)	90	92	77	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Gordon	(D)	100	100	67	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
7	Blackburn, M.	(R)	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
8	Tanner	(D)	60	58	44	✓	✗	?	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
9	Cohen	(D)	90	96	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓
TEXAS															
1	Gohmert	(R)	10	4	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Poe	(R)	10	4	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES												
			% 2010	% 111th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Gutting Gulf Oil Spill Response	2 Gulf Oil Spill Response	3 Energy Efficiency	4 Ocean Acidification Prevention	5 Algal Bloom Reduction	6 Upper Mississippi River Restoration	7 Water Education	8 Estuary Protection	9 San Antonio Missions Park	10 Dirty Air Act Cosponsorship
3	Johnson, S.	(R)	0	0	6	✗	?	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Hall, R.	(R)	10	4	14	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
5	Hensarling	(R)	0	0	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Barton	(R)	10	4	7	✗	✗	✗	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
7	Culberson	(R)	0	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
8	Brady, K.	(R)	10	4	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
9	Green, A.	(D)	100	100	80	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	McCaul	(R)	10	13	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
11	Conaway	(R)	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
12	Granger	(R)	20	8	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗
13	Thornberry	(R)	0	0	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
14	Paul, Ron	(R)	0	0	26	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
15	Hinojosa	(D)	80	79	62	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓
16	Reyes	(D)	70	83	61	✓	?	✓	✗	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
17	Edwards, C.	(D)	70	75	43	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
18	Jackson Lee	(D)	80	92	79	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓
19	Neugebauer	(R)	0	0	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗
20	Gonzalez	(D)	80	92	81	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓
21	Smith, Lamar	(R)	10	8	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
22	Olson	(R)	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗
23	Rodriguez	(D)	70	79	73	✗	✗	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
24	Marchant	(R)	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
25	Doggett	(D)	100	100	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
26	Burgess	(R)	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
27	Ortiz	(D)	60	75	42	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
28	Cuellar	(D)	70	88	59	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
29	Green, G.	(D)	60	83	64	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
30	Johnson, E.	(D)	90	96	80	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓
31	Carter	(R)	10	4	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
32	Sessions, P.	(R)	10	4	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

% 2010

% 111th Congress

% Lifetime

1 Gutting Gulf Oil Spill Response

2 Gulf Oil Spill Response

3 Energy Efficiency

4 Ocean Acidification Prevention

5 Algal Bloom Reduction

6 Upper Mississippi River Restoration

7 Water Education

8 Estuary Protection

9 San Antonio Missions Park

10 Dirty Air Act Cosponsorship

UTAH

1	Bishop, R.	(R)	10	4	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Matheson	(D)	90	75	64	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Chaffetz	(R)	10	4	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗

VERMONT

AL	Welch	(D)	100	96	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
----	-------	-----	-----	----	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

VIRGINIA

1	Wittman	(R)	40	29	27	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗
2	Nye	(D)	90	75	75	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Scott, R.	(D)	100	96	85	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Forbes	(R)	0	4	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Perriello	(D)	90	79	79	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Goodlatte	(R)	0	8	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Cantor	(R)	0	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
8	Moran, James	(D)	100	96	85	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
9	Boucher	(D)	60	83	68	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✗
10	Wolf	(R)	10	17	28	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
11	Connolly	(D)	90	96	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓

WASHINGTON

1	Inslee	(D)	100	100	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Larsen, R.	(D)	100	100	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Baird	(D)	100	92	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Hastings, D.	(R)	10	4	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	McMorris Rodgers	(R)	10	4	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Dicks	(D)	100	100	69	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
7	McDermott	(D)	100	96	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Reichert	(R)	70	67	63	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
9	Smith, Adam	(D)	90	96	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

3. HOUSE SCORES

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- Ⓜ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

				LCV SCORES												
				% 2010	% 11th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Gutting Gulf Oil Spill Response	2 Gulf Oil Spill Response	3 Energy Efficiency	4 Ocean Acidification Prevention	5 Algal Bloom Reduction	6 Upper Mississippi River Restoration	7 Water Education	8 Estuary Protection	9 San Antonio Missions Park	10 Dirty Air Act Cosponsorship
WEST VIRGINIA																
1	Mollohan	(D)	70	79	45	✓	✓	?	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
2	Capito	(R)	40	33	29	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗
3	Rahall	(D)	80	83	67	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
WISCONSIN																
1	Ryan, P.	(R)	20	13	24	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Baldwin	(D)	90	96	97	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Kind	(D)	90	96	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
4	Moore, G.	(D)	100	96	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Sensenbrenner	(R)	0	4	37	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Petri	(R)	20	29	49	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Obey	(D)	80	92	85	✓	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Kagen	(D)	70	88	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✗
WYOMING																
AL	Lummis	(R)	10	4	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

ADD MY VOICE TO AMERICA'S ENVIRONMENTAL MAJORITY

Please visit www.lcv.org/scorecard to view the scorecard electronically, share it with friends and family, and learn more about how you can join with other environmental activists around the country who are making their voices heard from the statehouse to the White House.

To track how your representative and senators vote on key environmental, clean energy and public health votes in 2011, please visit www.lcv.org to view our Online Vote Tracker.

To make an additional contribution to LCV to support our efforts to turn your environmental values into national priorities, please use the enclosed envelope or visit www.lcv.org/donate.



Thank you for being the voice for the environment.

facebook

www.facebook.com/LCVoters

twitter™

www.twitter.com/LCVoters



LEAGUE OF CONSERVATION VOTERS | www.lcv.org

1920 L STREET, NW, SUITE 800 · WASHINGTON, DC 20036
PHONE: 202.785.8683 · WWW.LCV.ORG

This publication was designed and printed using 100% wind power and was printed on an alcohol-free press with soy-based inks on 100% recycled stock.

